

# END THE WAR IN UKRAINE!



To Presidents Biden, Putin and Zelensky:

## IT'S TIME TO STOP THE KILLING AND DEVASTATION. CEASEFIRE & PEACE NEGOTIATIONS NOW!

The war in Ukraine has taken tens of thousands of Ukrainian and Russian lives, uprooted millions, contaminated land, air and water and worsened the climate crisis.

The longer the war goes on, the greater the danger of spiraling escalation which can lead to a wider war, environmental devastation and nuclear annihilation.

The war diverts billions that could be addressing urgent human needs.

Total military victory cannot be achieved by either Russia or Ukraine. It is time to support the calls by Pope Francis, United Nations Secretary-General Guterres, Presidents Lula da Silva of Brazil, Erdoğan of Turkey, Xi of China, and others for a ceasefire and a negotiated end to this calamitous war.

Stop the killing, agree to a ceasefire and begin negotiations!

CODEPINK



### SPONSORS

CODEPINK

Defuse Nuclear War  
Massachusetts Peace Action  
Progressive Democrats of America  
RootsAction  
Veterans for Peace  
Women's International League for Peace and Freedom

### SIGNERS

100 Grannies for a Livable Future Action Team  
Alliance for Democracy  
Blanca For San Francisco  
Brandywine Peace Community  
Brooklyn For Peace  
Campaign for Peace, Disarmament and Common Security  
Chesapeake Physicians for Social Responsibility  
Church Women United

Community Organizing Center

Concerned Citizens for Nuclear Safety  
Corvallis Divest from War  
DC Peace Team  
DSA-Santa Barbara  
Earth Care not Warfare  
East Tlmor Action Network  
Eisenhower Media Network  
Environmentalists Against War  
Extinction Rebellion US  
Florida Peace Action Network  
Genesee Valley Citizens for Peace  
Ground Zero Center for Nonviolent Action  
International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War  
IPPNW Saxony  
Just World Educational  
League of Revolutionaries for a New America

Long Island Alliance for Peaceful Alternatives

Mount Diablo Peace and Justice Center  
Movement for People's Democracy  
New Jersey Peace Action  
NH Peace Action  
Nuclear Abolition Now!  
Nuclear Free World Committee, Syracuse Peace Council  
Oregon Peace Institute  
Oregon PeaceWorks  
Our Revolution Hawaii  
Pax Christi Long Island  
Pax Christi USA  
Peace & Planet News  
Peace Action Maine  
Peace Action of San Mateo County  
Peace Action WI  
Peace Fresno  
Peace Praxis - Shenandoah Valley  
Antiwar Coalition

Peace Resource Center of San Diego

Peaceworkers, San Francisco  
PeaceWorks KC  
People's Party of Maine  
People's Party of Michigan  
Phoenix Antiwar Coalition  
Planet Versus Pentagon  
PreventNuclearWar-Maryland  
Quakers, Friends at Cambridge MA  
Rachel Corrie Foundation for Peace and Justice  
Racine Coalition for Peace and Justice  
Seattle Anti-War Coalition,  
Seattle Fellowship of Reconciliation  
Stop Nuclear WorkGroup  
The Open Road Foundation, Portland Oregon  
The Progressive Movement Hawaii  
The Whatcom Peace & Justice Center

US Boats to Gaza  
Veterans For Peace

Veterans For Peace, Bellingham, WA  
Veterans For Peace, Hawaii  
Veterans for Peace, Hector Black Chapter  
Veterans For Peace, Linus Pauling Chapter  
Veterans For Peace, Los Angeles  
Veterans For Peace, Maine  
Veterans For Peace, Minneapolis, MN  
Veterans For Peace, Rochester, NY  
Veterans For Peace, Santa Fe NM  
Veterans For Peace, Spokane, WA  
Veterans For Peace, The Villages, Florida  
Watertown Citizens for Peace, Justice and the Environment  
West Suburban Peace Coalitio

Women Against Military Madness

Women Against War  
Women's Circle for Peace  
Women's March Santa Barbara  
Workers for Peace  
World BEYOND War

### INTERNATIONAL SIGNERS

International Peace Bureau  
Jørgen Tangen  
Kamukunji Paralegal Trust (KAPLET), Kenya  
Peace SOS, the Netherlands  
Stop de oorlog Amsterdam  
Ukrainian Pacifist Movement, Kyiv, Ukraine  
Vrede VZW, Belgium  
zelnstra van gelderen, the Netherlands

Sign the petition and get involved at  
**PEACEINUKRAINE.ORG**

ADVERTISEMENT

# The U.S. Should Be a Force for Peace in the World

The Russia-Ukraine War has been an unmitigated disaster. Hundreds of thousands have been killed or wounded. Millions have been displaced. Environmental and economic destruction have been incalculable. Future devastation could be exponentially greater as nuclear powers creep ever closer toward open war.

We deplore the violence, war crimes, indiscriminate missile strikes, terrorism, and other atrocities that are part of this war. The solution to this shocking violence is not more weapons or more war, with their guarantee of further death and destruction.

As Americans and national security experts, we urge President Biden and Congress to use their full power to end the war speedily through diplomacy, especially given the grave dangers of military escalation that could spiral out of control.

Sixty years ago, President John F. Kennedy made an observation that is crucial for our survival today. "Above all, while defending our own vital interests, nuclear powers must avert those confrontations which bring an adversary to a choice of either a humiliating retreat or a nuclear war. To adopt that kind of course in the nuclear age would be evidence only of the bankruptcy of our policy—or of a collective death-wish for the world."

The immediate cause of this disastrous war in Ukraine is Russia's invasion. Yet the plans and actions to expand NATO to Russia's borders served to provoke Russian fears. And Russian leaders made this point for 30 years. A failure of diplomacy led to war. Now diplomacy is urgently needed to end the war before it destroys Ukraine and endangers humanity.

## The Potential for Peace

Russia's current geopolitical anxiety is informed by memories of invasion from Charles XII, Napoleon, the Kaiser and Hitler. U.S. troops were among an Allied invasion force that intervened unsuccessfully against the winning side in Russia's post-World War I civil war. Russia sees NATO enlargement and presence on its borders as a direct threat; the U.S. and NATO see only prudent preparedness. In diplomacy, one must attempt to see with strategic empathy, seeking to understand one's adversary. This is not weakness: it is wisdom.

We reject the idea that diplomats, seeking peace, must choose sides, in this case either Russia or Ukraine. In favoring diplomacy we choose the side of sanity. Of humanity. Of peace.

We consider President Biden's promise to back Ukraine "as long as it takes" to be a license to pursue ill-defined and ultimately unachievable goals. It could prove as catastrophic as President Putin's decision last year to launch his criminal invasion and occupation. We cannot and will not endorse the strategy of fighting Russia to the last Ukrainian.

We advocate for a meaningful and genuine commitment to diplomacy, specifically an immediate ceasefire and negotiations without any disqualifying or prohibitive preconditions. Deliberate provocations delivered this war. In the same manner, deliberate diplomacy can end it.

## U.S. Actions and Russia's Invasion of Ukraine

As the Soviet Union collapsed and the Cold War ended, U.S. and Western European leaders assured Soviet and then Russian leaders that NATO would not expand toward Russia's borders. "There would be no extension of...NATO one inch to the east," U.S. Secretary of State James Baker told Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev on February 9, 1990. Similar assurances from other U.S. leaders as well as from British, German and French leaders throughout the 1990s confirm this.

Since 2007, Russia has repeatedly warned that NATO's armed forces on Russian borders were intolerable — just as Russian forces in Mexico or Canada would be intolerable to the U.S. now, or as Soviet missiles in Cuba were in 1962. Russia further singled out NATO expansion into Ukraine as especially provocative.

## Seeing the War Through Russia's Eyes

Our attempt at understanding the Russian perspective on their war does not endorse the invasion and occupation, nor does it imply the Russians had no other option but this war.

Yet, just as Russia had other options, so too did the U.S. and NATO leading up to this moment.

The Russians made their red lines clear. In Georgia and Syria, they proved that they would use force to defend those lines. In 2014, their immediate seizure of Crimea and their support of Donbas separatists demonstrated they were serious in their commitment to defending their interests. Why this was not understood

US/NATO Military Bases



What if the Shoe Were on the Other Foot?



by U.S. and NATO leadership is unclear; incompetence, arrogance, cynicism, or a treacherous mixture of all three are likely contributing factors.

Again, even as the Cold War ended, U.S. diplomats, generals and politicians were warning of the dangers of expanding NATO to Russia's borders and of maliciously interfering in Russia's sphere of influence. Former Cabinet officials Madeleine Albright, Robert Gates and William Perry issued these warnings, as did veteran diplomats Strobe Talbott, George Kennan, Jack Matlock and Henry Kissinger. In 1997, fifty senior U.S. foreign policy experts wrote an open letter to President Bill Clinton advising him not to expand NATO, calling it "a policy error of historic proportions." President Clinton chose to ignore these warnings.

Most important to our understanding of the hubris and Machiavellian calculation in U.S. decision-making is the dismissal of the warnings issued by Williams Burns, the current director of the Central Intelligence Agency. In a cable to Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice in 2008, while serving as Ambassador to Russia, Burns wrote of NATO expansion and Ukrainian membership:

"Ukraine and Georgia's NATO aspirations not only touch a raw nerve in Russia, they engender serious concerns about the consequences for stability in the region. Not only does Russia perceive encirclement, and efforts to undermine Russian security interests. Experts tell us that Russia is particularly worried that the strong divisions in Ukraine over NATO membership, with much of the ethnic-Russian community against membership, could lead to a major split, involving violence or at worst, civil war. In that eventuality, Russia would have to decide whether to intervene; a decision Russia does not want to have to face."

Why did the U.S. persist in expanding NATO despite such warnings? Profit from weapons sales was a major factor. Facing opposition to NATO expansion, a group of neoconservatives and top executives of U.S. weapons manufacturers formed the U.S. Committee to Expand NATO. Between 1996 and 1998, the largest arms manufacturers spent \$51 million (\$94 million today) on lobbying and millions more on campaign contributions. With this largesse, NATO expansion quickly became a done deal, after which U.S. weapons manufacturers sold billions of dollars of weapons to the new NATO members.

So far, the U.S. has sent \$30 billion worth of military gear and weapons to Ukraine, with total aid to Ukraine exceeding \$100 billion. War, it's been said, is a racket, one that is highly profitable for a select few.

## Timeline

**1990**  
US assures Russia that NATO will not expand towards its border "...there would be no extension of...NATO one inch to the east," says US Secretary of State James Baker.

**1996**  
US weapons manufacturers form the Committee to Expand NATO, spending over \$51 million on lobbying expenditures.

**1999**  
NATO admits Hungary, Poland, and the Czech Republic to NATO. US and NATO bomb Russia's ally, Serbia.

**2001**  
US unilaterally withdraws from the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.

**2004**  
Seven more Eastern European nations join NATO. NATO troops are now directly on Russia's border.

**2004**  
Russia's parliament passes a resolution denouncing NATO's expansion. Putin responds by saying that Russia would "build our defense and security policy correspondingly."

**2008**  
NATO leaders announce plans to bring Ukraine and Georgia, also on Russia's borders, into NATO.

**2009**  
US announces plans to put missile systems into Poland and Romania.

**2014**  
Legally elected Ukrainian president, Viktor Yanukovich, flees violence to Moscow.

**2016**  
US begins troop buildup in Europe.

**2019**  
US unilaterally withdraws from Intermediate Nuclear Forces Treaty.

**2020**  
US unilaterally withdraws from Open Skies Treaty.

**2021**  
Russia submits negotiation proposals while sending more forces to the border with Ukraine. US and NATO officials reject the Russian proposals immediately.

**Feb 24, 2022**  
Russia invades Ukraine.

NATO expansion, in sum, is a key feature of a militarized U.S. foreign policy characterized by unilateralism featuring regime change and preemptive wars. Failed wars, most recently in Iraq and Afghanistan, have produced slaughter and further confrontation, a harsh reality of America's own making. The present war in Ukraine has opened a new arena of confrontation and slaughter. This reality is not entirely of our own making, yet it may well be our undoing, unless we dedicate ourselves to forging a diplomatic settlement that stops the killing and defuses tensions.

Let's make America a force for peace in the world.

Read more at [www.EisenhowerMediaNetwork.org](http://www.EisenhowerMediaNetwork.org)

## Signers

**Dennis Fritz**, Director, Eisenhower Media Network; Command Chief Master Sergeant, U.S. Air Force (retired)  
**Matthew Hoh**, Associate Director, Eisenhower Media Network; Former Marine Corps officer, and State and Defense official  
**William J. Astore**, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Air Force (retired)  
**Ann Wright**, Colonel, U.S. Army (retired) and former U.S. diplomat  
**Christian Sorensen**, Former Arabic linguist, U.S. Air Force

**Lawrence B. Wilkerson**, Colonel, U.S. Army (retired)  
**Dennis Litch**, Major General, U.S. Army (retired)  
**Colleen Rowley**, Special Agent, FBI (retired)  
**Karen Kwiatkowski**, Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Air Force (retired)  
**Dan Ellsberg**, Former State and Defense official  
**Jack Matlock**, U.S. Ambassador to the C.S.S.R., 1987-91, author of *Reagan and Gorbachev: How the Cold War Ended*

**Jeffrey Sachs**, University Professor at Columbia University  
**Winslow Wheeler**, National security adviser to four Republican and Democratic U.S. Senators; Assistant Director for CIAO; Director of Center for Defense Information  
**Chuck Spitzer**, Retired Engineer/Analyst, Office of Secretary of Defense  
**Todd E. Pierce**, Major, Judge Advocate, U.S.A (retired)